Approved in Open Board Meeting October 5, 2016

THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

June 21, 2016 Tuesday, 10:15 a.m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

The School Board of Broward County, Florida, met in regular session at 10:17 a.m., Tuesday, June 21, 2016, in the Board Room of the Kathleen C. Wright Administrative Center, 600 Southeast Third Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Present were: School Board Members, Dr. Rosalind Osgood, Chair (via teleconference); Abby M. Freedman, Vice Chair; Robin Bartleman; Heather Brinkworth; Patricia Good; Donna P. Korn; Laurie Rich Levinson; Ann Murray; Nora Rupert; Robert W. Runcie, Superintendent of Schools; and Barbara J. Myrick, Esq.

<u>Call to Order</u> The Vice Chair called the meeting to order and led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

Minutes for Approval Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the official minutes for the following Board Meetings: Mrs. Bartleman, Mrs. Rich Levinson, and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote).

April 19, 2016 - Regular School Board Meeting April 26, 2016 - Special School Board Meeting June 15, 2016 - Expulsions

<u>Added/Changed Items</u> The Superintendent requested that the Board permit the following changes to the agenda:

- •Minutes for Approval Added: April 26, 2016 Special School Board Meeting; June 15, 2016 Special Expulsions
- Speakers Added: Youssef Wardani, Juliet Hibbs, Michael Sirbola, Trudy Jermanovich, Sara Srebnik, Laura Clark
- Consent Items Revised: G-3
- Open Items Revised: FF-5; Added: FF-10, KK-1 (Special Order)

The Vice Chair approved the changes and announced the changes to the Agenda proposed by the Superintendent were accepted by the Vice Chair after being determined that good cause had been established for said changes.

<u>Close Agenda</u> Upon motion by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, the Agenda was approved and declared closed. Mrs. Bartleman, Mrs. Rich Levinson, and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote).

Special Presentations

•Recognition in Support of All of the Partners Involved in the Fourth Annual Autism In Flight

This special presentation may be viewed in its entirety at: http://www.browardschools.com/School-Board/Special-Presentations

Reports The following reports were presented:

- Employee Unions/Groups Anna Fusco, President, Broward Teachers Union (BTU)
- •ESE Advisory Council Kelley Thomerson, Chair
- Human Relations Committee, Vicki Rosenthal, Chair

These reports may be viewed in their entirety at: https://becon223.eduvision.tv/Default.aspx?q=d0F7qPKKlcfmtjfULqo9AQ%3d%3d (Click on School Board Meeting 6-21-16.)

Speakers

Phil Kroyman Yvonne Green Youssef Wardani Juliet Hibbs Michael Sirbola Trudy Jermanovich Sara Srebnik Laura Clark

<u>Consent Agenda</u> Following identification of those items Board Members and members of the public indicated they would like considered separately, motion was made by Ms. Murray, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve the Consent Agenda for the remaining items (identified by *). Mrs. Rich Levinson and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote).

CONSENT ITEMS

A. RESOLUTIONS

*A-1. School Boundary Committee Resolution and 2017-2018 School Boundary Process Timeline (Adopted)

Adopted Resolution 16-103 in support of establishing the formation and functions of the School Boundary Committee and adopt the proposed 2017-2018 School Boundary Process Timeline.

B. BOARD MEMBERS

E. OFFICE OF STRATEGY & OPERATIONS

E-1. Pre-Qualification of Contractors - Superintendent's Recommendations Regarding Pre-Qualification Certification (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation by the Superintendent indicated in the Superintendent Recommendations - Tracking Report. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Rupert referred to the comparison for the reporting period fiscal year-to-date. It reflected 2015-2016 and wanted to add a column once a year to the right of last year's information to show the improvement between the last year and current year. In addition, when talking about the return on investment, on the last page it was wonderful to see those events were attended; however, she felt it was relevant to see the team's efforts were fruitful and the actual applications related to the events were received, as well as showing progress, and if it was a good decision to repeat attendance for the next year.

Mary Coker, Director, Procurement & Warehousing Services, replied they revised the reports. She handed out the Superintendent's recommendations, both a new and old version. She said if the Board approved the new format, they would be effective in July.

Several Board Members commented on the progress this department had made.

Dr. Osgood inquired if someone was qualified through the County, would they not have to be pre-qualified again to use them, as done in other counties.

Ms. Coker responded the District had a similar process so if a prospect vendor was pre-qualified and was certified from where they came from, they would not have to go through that process again; however, they would still have to fill out an application.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on this item.

*E-2. Third Amendment to Agreement - RFP 13-010V - Voluntary Supplemental Insurance Plans/Programs for School Board Employees (Approved)

Approved the Third Amendment to Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP). Contract Term: January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, 1 Year; User Department: Benefits & Employment Services; Award Amount: None; Vendor(s) Awarded: Texas Life Insurance Company and Washington National Insurance Company; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

E-3. Recommendation to Reject All Proposals - 16-058E - Computing Deployment Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the recommendation to reject all proposals for the above contract. Mrs. Good and Ms. Korn were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Bartleman questioned why these were rejected.

Ms. Coker replied they found significant discrepancies in the procurement process and felt it was in the best interest of the District, respondents, and partners to reject all bids and give everyone a fair opportunity to go out to bid again.

Mrs. Rich Levinson would like to see the District possibly have the Microtechs do this in their schools in the future. She also wanted to be informed what the difference would be in terms of future costs between internally versus externally.

A vote was taken on this item.

E-4. First Amendment to Agreement and Renewal - RFP - 14-008V - Financial Advisory Consultant Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to approve the First Amendment to the Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP). Contract Term: July 23, 2013, through June 30, 2017, 4 Years, User Department: Treasurer's Office; Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): Public Financial Management, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items E-4 and E-5 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Mrs. Rupert had the same comment for both E-4 and E-5. She referred to the Executive Summary, third paragraph, end of first sentence, and asked staff to explain what was meant by "...the possibility of several lease financings for the District's facilities."

Ivan Perrone, Treasurer, responded it was for the computer and bus leases.

Benjamin Leong, Chief Financial Officer, added, that the word "facility" in finance terms meant there was a vehicle to do the financing. It did not mean a physical plant facility. They lease all computers through Bank of America and the buses had an operating lease, which was for a period of eight (8) years. The Financial Advisory advises them as to which terms were the best to finance.

Mr. Leong said E-5 pertained to the Bond Counsel, which needed to review the documents to ensure the financing was appropriate. He said both the Financial Advisory and Bond Counsel were involved with the financing.

Mrs. Rupert inquired if staff anticipated going out to bid this year and not exercising the second one-year renewal.

Mr. Leong replied this was a personal service that was a three-year contract, with two additional years. His intent was to extend the contract for one (1) more year before going back to bid.

Mrs. Brinkworth asked what was meant in the Financial Impact on E-4, where it stated, "Fees would be paid from proceeds of completed financings."

Mr. Perrone responded in order to achieve cost effectiveness, they issue over \$150 million in bonds and the fees related with that were approximately \$1.2 million. When they sell the bonds, part of those proceeds pay for the costs and fees associated with issuing the bonds.

A vote was taken on these items.

E-5. First Amendment to Agreement and Renewal - RFP - 13-033V - Bond and Special Tax Counsel Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to approve the First Amendment to the Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP). Contract Term: May 20, 2013, through June 30, 2017, 4 Years, 1 Month; User Department: Treasurer's Office; Original Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): Greenberg Traurig, P.A.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items E-4 and E-5 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

*E-6. First Amendment to Agreement and Renewal - RFP 14-012V -Investment Banking Services (Approved)

Approved the First Amendment to Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP). Contract Term: December 3, 2013, through July 31, 2017, 3 Years, 8 Months; User Department: Treasurer's Office; Original Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.; J.P. Morgan Securities, LLC; Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC; RBC Capital Markets, LLC; PNC Capital Markets, LLC; and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

*E-7. First Amendment to Agreement - 15-010P - 403(b)/457(b) Programs for School Board Employees (Approved)

Approved the First Amendment to Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP) - 15-010P. Contract Term: March 17, 2015, through December 31, 2017, 2 Years, 10 Months; User Department: Benefits and Employment Services; Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): VOYA f/k/a ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company; and Metropolitan Life Insurance Company; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

E-8. Bid Renewal - 15-002R - Fencing Materials

(Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve the first renewal for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2017, 3 Years; User Department: Physical Plant Operations (PPO); Original Award Amount: \$350,000; Awarded Vendor(s): US Wholesale Pipe and Tube, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Rupert could not find where WW Grainger, Inc. bid on this contract with a \$1,770 spend and asked staff to explain.

Ms. Coker replied that was incorrect and it should not have been on the financial statement list.

Mrs. Rupert asked if staff knew how it was placed on the list.

Ms. Coker responded that someone put the wrong bid number in the field.

A vote was taken on this item.

*E-9. First Amendment to Agreement - 16-005V - Retirement Plan Administration and Investment Management Services (Approved)

Approved the First Amendment to Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP) 16-005V - Retirement Plan Administration and Investment Management Services. Contract Term: July 1, 2015, through December 31, 2018, 3 Years, 6 Months; User Department: Benefits & Employment Services; Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): Bencor, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

*E-10. First Amendment to Agreement - 14-010P - Group Term Life & Accidental Death and Dismemberment Insurance for Employees (Approved)

Approved the First Amendment to Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP) - 14-010P. Contract Term: January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, 1 Year; User Department: Benefits & Employment Services; Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

*E-11. RFP Renewal - 14-015P - Student and Athletic Accident Insurance and Catastrophic Accident Coverages (Approved)

Approved the Agreement for the above Request for Proposal (RFP) - 14-015P. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, 1 Year; User Department: Risk Management Department; Award Amount: \$300,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Scholastic Insurance of Florida, LLC d/b/a School Insurance of Florida and Arthur J. Gallagher Risk Management Services, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

F. OFFICE OF ACADEMICS

*F-1. District English Language Learner (ELL) Plan 2016-2019 (Approved)

Approved the updated English Language Learner (ELL) Plan in compliance with the Florida Consent Decree.

*F-2. Agreement between Healthy Schools, LLC and The School Board of Broward County, Florida (Approved)

Approved the Healthy Schools Contract to provide the Nasal Spray Flu Mist Influenza Vaccine to students in Broward County Public Schools.

*F-3. Amendment 004 to Road to Child Outcomes Contract between the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) of Broward County, Florida and The School Board of Broward County (SBBC), Florida (Approved)

SEE ITEM FF-6

Approved amendment 004 to Road to Child Outcomes (RTCO) between the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) of Broward County, Florida and The School Board of Broward County (SBBC), Florida.

*F-4. Data Sharing Agreement (DSA) with The Children's Services Council (CSC) of Broward County (Approved)

Approved Data Sharing Agreement (DSA) with The Children's Services Council (CSC) of Broward County.

G. OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES

G-1. Personnel Recommendations for Instructional Reappointment Instructional Appointments and Leaves for 2016-2017 School Year (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to approve the personnel recommendations for the 2016-2017 reappointments, appointments and leaves as listed in the attached Executive Summary and respective lists for instructional employees and authorize, pursuant to section 1011.60 (3) (f), Florida Statutes to serve more than (10) calendar months of service. All recommendations are made with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson to separate three (3) names: Marie Martin, Barbara Pomper, and Tara Terribile from this item due the reappointments being a relative to a Board Member. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the remaining names for Item G-1.

A vote was taken on Item G-1 without the three (3) separated names.

A vote was taken on the three (3) separated names. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Brinkworth, Ms. Korn, and Ms. Murray abstained from the vote. (5-0 vote)

*G-2. Personnel Recommendations for Instructional Separation of Employment or Discipline for the 2015-2016 School Year (Approved)

Approved the personnel recommendations for separation of employment or discipline as listed in the attached respective lists for instructional staff. All recommendations are made with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida.

G-3. Personnel Recommendations for Non-Instructional Appointments, Reappointments and Leaves for the 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 School Years (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Murray, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the 2015-2016 & 2016-2017 personnel recommendations for appointments & reassignments & approve the 2016-2017 reappointments as listed on the attached Executive Summary, lists & individual appointments for Non-Instructional Employees & authorize, pursuant to section 1011.60(3)(f), Florida Statutes, principals, other school site administrators, & instructional staff to serve more than ten (10) calendar months of service. All recommendations were made pending security clearance & with the understanding that these individuals would comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida DOE & SBBC. Dr. Osgood was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

The Board had an extensive conversation on this item, particularly the recommendation for Staff Assistant on page ii. Some of the concerns were whether this was a new position, the time it had been vacant, if the person could be placed in her previous position as a teacher and eliminate this position.

Mrs. Rupert had several concerns regarding this position. She inquired what the responsibilities were of a person returning to his/her previous position prior to after being task assigned.

Ms. Myrick replied when a person finishes his/her task-assignment they were guaranteed to go back to their previous position; however, it did not mean the Superintendent could not make another change.

Mrs. Rupert requested that this job description come back to the Board at a workshop. It was the most vague description she had seen. She did not feel it specified the responsibilities and hard work of Staff Assistants in other departments, nor did it indicate what this particular Staff Assistant would be doing for the Support Services department. Mrs. Rupert stated with the Evergreen Report highlighting the tremendous need for Behavior Specialists, and Behavior Techs, she would put people and money where there was a glaring need and not establish a position that did not exist up until two weeks ago. She asked the General Counsel what the responsibility was of Board Members when it came to a new position if they felt it would better serve the District to eliminate this position and reappoint this very talented Behavior Specialist teacher as a teacher.

Ms. Myrick responded it would have to go back to the organizational chart. You could choose to fill or not fill the position, which was different for approving people for the position.

Mrs. Good asked how staff could justify filling this position at this level without further supporting the need for a Behavior Specialist.

Antoine Hickman, Executive Director, ESE & Support Services, replied Behavior Specialist, Assistant Technology Specialists, ESE Specialists, and a number of other positions, were recommendations from the Evergreen Report and community. In the Evergreen Report there were 5 areas of recommendations that this particular position would help resolve over time.

Mr. Runcie reminded the Board that significant investments were made in ESE Behavioral and Support Specialists over the years as a response to the Evergreen Report and feedback from staff, schools, and the community. The situation here was focused on a consistent concern with ESE staff, parents, and advocates. He said the main theme at the last ESE Advisory meeting was having site-based consistency and standardization in the implementation of IEPs. A lot of the District's systems and processes were stand-alone and required manual work on the part of teachers. He indicated this work was aimed at reducing some of those burdens on teachers; ensuring there was consistency across the board; and being able to prove compliance, relative to IEPs and services. To accomplish this work there would need to be a firm understanding of the ESE space and of technology.

Mr. Runcie stated staff came to him with this recommendation and he believed it would help this District to better leverage millions of dollars invested in tools to better support the work and improve services across the board. This individual had ESE certification, as well as significant experience with technology, and would be working in this role being supervised by the Easy IEP Manager. The Project Manager from Technology would also help support this role. The position was not a supervisory role. In his estimation, Mr. Runcie believed this was a great opportunity to move this District forward better utilizing technology, begin addressing and fixing a problem that was occurring across the system, and take advantage of a unique skillset.

Ms. Korn inquired how long this role needed to be filled, or once the system was in place, would the task be ongoing.

Dr. Hickman responded once the systems were linked, the work would be ongoing.

Mr. Runcie added part of it would be the integration work, as well as continuing to look for opportunities to refine and improve the processes, and continue to improve how services were delivered. It would be an ongoing role.

Ms. Korn supported her colleague's request to see a more tailored job description for this position. It was important to see what was going to be accomplished and how. She stated from her perspective, it was the department and Superintendent's job to efficiently address what had been brought forth in the Evergreen Report. Continuing, Ms. Korn said if this was a task that needed to be accomplished and would be globally effecting the District and students, it was one she would support, and she would like it to happen as quickly as possible. At the same time, having a better and clearer job description was also important and wanted it to come back to the Board in a timely manner to ensure what was being accomplished here was understood by senior staff, as well as the employee that would be filling this role.

Mrs. Brinkworth voiced concerns over whether or not the Micro-Tech position had been advertised, as well as the differences in pay ranges and job descriptions.

Mary Claire Mucenic, Director, Support Services, stated there were two (2) other positions under Ms. Rodgers that were eliminated a few years back. Since then, Ms. Rogers had been working with only one assistant and had been asking for assistance for a couple years.

Eric Chisem, Director, Talent Acquisition & Operations, said he would verify if the Micro-Tech position was previously advertised.

Mrs. Brinkworth said the responsibilities mentioned appeared to fit more with the Micro-Tech position than the Staff Assistant. In addition, there was a difference between a task and a job and this sounded more like a task. She indicated there was a perception that jobs were being given or created for people, which made her uncomfortable. She did not want to do a disservice to ESE but she needed more information on the job descriptions before she could vote.

Mr. Runcie advised the Board a description of the Micro-Tech and Staff Assistant positions, roles, and responsibilities would be provided to them.

Mrs. Bartleman agreed and had several concerns as well. She stated the week prior, all job descriptions were brought forward and wanted to know why this one was not brought forward as an added item.

Mr. Chisem replied this was not a new job description.

Mrs. Bartleman requested staff to provide all the job descriptions for Staff Assistants. She asked staff if he knew about the individual that had this skillset and matched the job description.

Dr. Hickman responded they had a need for this position but he did not know the individual. This position would report to the Easy IEP Manager, Tara Rogers.

Mrs. Bartleman believed the position would be better served if it were put in a school. She wanted to review the teacher-based positions and use those to fill this position. She was concerned that the position was created and not advertised. She indicated she would not support the position.

Mrs. Rich Levinson asked if this position was on the Organizational Chart.

Mr. Chisem replied no.

Mrs. Rich Levinson suggested tabling this item until later in the meeting to allow staff to gather information on the Board's questions.

Each Board Member reiterated information/answers they needed from staff.

Motion to Separate and Table (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn to separate this position and table until later in the meeting when staff was able to provide additional information requested by the Board. Dr. Osgood was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Separate and Table the Staff Assistant position.

Following a vote on the remaining G-3 items, newly-appointed District personnel were recognized and congratulated by the Board.

Following Agenda Item KK-1, the separated position from Item G-3 was brought back to the table for discussion by a motion made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and acclamation of the Board.

Ms. Korn asked staff how the information tied into the Evergreen Report.

Dr. Hickman referred to page 3, number 15 (#15), of the June 1st, ESE Community Task Force Meeting. It showed two (2) individuals would now have the work done by one (1) individual.

Mr. Runcie stated it was not limited to #15; it was much broader. The individual in this position would not be continuing the task assignment going forward. He never said they would be eliminating a person from the organization. They were looking to relocate a person into a classroom or position in the District, which had been done on a number of occasions.

Mr. Runcie said staff came to him with a specific problem and recognizing there was an individual with unique skillset to address the issue, they used an existing position to identify the method to move forward to resolve the issues. He believed this job and role was desperately needed in the District. He indicated if it was the Board's will, this could be postponed until the July 26, 2016 Regular School Board Meeting.

Ms. Korn clarified the Staff Assistant would now replace the teacher and clerk positions for a savings in salaries and benefits of \$85,000 versus \$125,000. In addition, the recommendations from Evergreen would be met as well as other initiatives.

Mrs. Bartleman stated the ESE Task Force did not envision the same thing and proceeded to read an email from the ESE Chair. She believed a clerk could perform the same duties.

Ms. Mucenic replied they were okay with the secretarial staff as of now, but had two (2) openings that were floating if they needed to fill them.

Mrs. Bartleman asked if the tasks could be accomplished with a teacher-based position.

Ms. Rogers answered yes.

Mrs. Bartleman inquired how staff would accomplish the goals since the Easy IEP did not allow them to interface.

Tony Hunter, Chief Information Officer, responded as it relates to the Easy IEP application, they would try to integrate the data so teachers and others using it only had one place to look for the information. It was not a matter of interfacing with Easy IEP, but rather placing the data in a data warehouse so it was available in whatever application would be accessed by all teachers. Someone with the Easy IEP experience would be needed to help them determine what data had to be extracted.

Mrs. Bartleman believed this department could be helped by placing someone in a teacher-based position there and saving money, while accomplishing everything that was needed. She could not support the position at that salary level at this time.

Mrs. Rupert thanked staff for the information but stated she would not be able to support this position and believed ESE could be helped in another way. There were other aspects for funding opportunities as well. She asked if a Micro-Tech would help Ms. Rogers.

Ms. Rogers replied it would help but would not accomplish everything.

Mrs. Rupert said she would not be supporting this position.

Mrs. Brinkworth did not recall a data entry position mentioned earlier. She referred to chart of Vacant Position where a Staff Assistant was listed and asked if it was the additional Micro-Tech position that was now changed to reflect the Staff Assistant.

Dr. Hickman stated there was only one Micro-Tech position.

Mrs. Brinkworth stated the difficulty for her was what the anticipated job was for this person and the role that she was being put in by using a particular job description. She said some of the responsibilities for the role of Ms. Rogers were outlined within her job description.

Ms. Mucenic replied yes, the Staff Assistant job description mirrored Ms. Rogers' since that position would be assisting Ms. Rogers.

Mrs. Brinkworth indicated it appeared there were several positions being rolled into one with less responsibilities and believed sometimes it would be better to have two people to spread the work. She wanted to be sure the Board was not making a decision for any other reason than what was best for servicing the students.

Mrs. Freedman commented, after reviewing the material, she believed this individual was filling a position where there was a need.

Dr. Hickman responded that was correct. He said the documents he shared showed they had a need for that position to tie in the data systems and help out. It has been every initiative since last year.

Mrs. Bartleman believed a better use of funds would be a teacher-based position. She did not think this job description interfaced with Easy IEP and felt it was not accurate.

Motion (Withdrawn)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman to designate the position of Staff Assistant not be filled under the ESE Support Services.

Ms. Korn conveyed she was uncomfortable with comments made that the information from staff was not true. When the Board takes something that was provided by staff, the Board may not agree with the information and has every right to disagree, but stating that staff was outright lying was not appropriate. She believed that staff was doing the best to provide the information and requested that the Board to speak appropriately to staff.

The Board had further discussion on whether it was able to reject a recommendation brought forward and the position as a vacancy being below the organizational chart.

Ms. Korn did not want the Board to act on something, only to find out later it should have done something differently.

Mr. Chisem pointed out the individual appeared twice in the Item G-3; for the hiring to the position, as well as a reappointment. He wanted the Board to be fully aware that this process called for two actions; the hiring and reappointment.

Ms. Korn stated the question was mute if it was supported as presented; however, there was a motion on the floor to not allow a designation of a position. She was not comfortable voting on the motion as it was because she needed information to determine if the Board had a right in this case since it was listed as a vacancy to designate this position.

Ms. Myrick advised the Board her recommendation would be to have the motion withdrawn and table for next week's Board meeting.

Mrs. Bartleman agreed, and although she did not support or agree with the position, she wanted to have the information her colleague mentioned from General Counsel so the Board would not be put at risk.

Mrs. Rupert withdrew her motion and Mrs. Bartleman withdrew her second.

Mrs. Freedman believed it was a matter of semantics with the title. She said staff was trying to save money by combining the two (2) positions of Systems Analyst and Data Entry into one (1) position, Staff Assistant. She stated maybe if staff presented this in a different manner, the Board would not be having this discussion. Regardless, it was still the Superintendent's responsibility, through staff, to determine the best way to run this organization.

Mrs. Bartleman inquired if staff considered whether or not a teacher-based position would work instead and would be less money.

Dr. Hickman replied no.

Mrs. Freedman thought the pay would actually be more if the individual was placed in a teacher-based position.

Mr. Chisem responded teachers were on a 196 calendar and if a first-year teacher making approximately \$35 per hour went to a position with a yearly salary, they would not only receive a 10% increase, but their salary would increase due to the increase of days by moving to a 244 calendar and they would earn more than a beginning teacher.

Mrs. Freedman questioned if this was the first time the Superintendent had recommended someone to a position without an interview.

Mr. Runcie commented that this was not the first time he recommended someone for a position. He stated the Board approved items today where individuals did not apply.

Mr. Chisem added that the majority of the individuals were reappointments. He said there were other cases in the prior year where there were direct appointments to additional positions. He stated today was not the first for this to happen and they were quoting language from the Broward Teachers Union (BTU) bargain unit, which states the Superintendent had the ability to make recommendations.

Motion to Table (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth to table this position until later in the meeting to allow legal counsel time to consult with staff. Dr. Osgood was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Table.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Table.

Following Agenda Item G-1, the separated position of Staff Assistant was brought back as originally presented in Item G-3 to the table for discussion by a motion made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and acclamation of the Board.

Ms. Myrick advised the Board it had the authority to reject the position whether the position was created two (2) weeks ago by the department or six (6) months by the Board. The question as to whether or not it puts the Board at risk would depend on how the department and Superintendent go about fulfilling the duties that were expressed that they needed. So if the Board eliminated the position in ESE, Staff Assistant, and the ESE department was able to have the tasks completed in some other way, it would put the District at risk by individuals.

Dr. Osgood needed clarity if what was being questioned was the person filling the position or the position itself.

Mrs. Bartleman said for her it was the position.

Mrs. Rich Levinson commented that two (2) weeks ago, those positions were in the organizational chart, contrary to what was stated by her colleague that was not factual. She questioned if the Board was going to get involved with every personnel decision in every department in the future because this was setting that precedence. In addition, to say it was just about the position was disingenuous; it was not just about the position.

Motion (Failed)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman to eliminate the position of Staff Assistant not be filled under the ESE Support Services. Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. Mrs. Brinkworth, Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Korn, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rich Levinson voted no. (2-5 vote)

Mrs. Brinkworth stated her concern was whether this was for tasks as opposed to an actual job. Based on General Counsel's explanation on the risk, she wanted to clarify that was why she voted the way she did.

Mrs. Rupert reached out the Superintendent and in consideration of the Board and the robust discussion on this matter, she implored him to withdraw this item, bring it back in a month to allow staff the opportunity to get what they need.

Mr. Runcie replied after the break he mentioned postponing the item until the Board meeting in July.

Motion to Postpone (Failed)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman to postpone the position of Staff Assistant to the July 26, 2016 Regular School Board Meeting. Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Korn, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rich Levinson voted no. (3-4 vote)

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Postpone this item/position.

Mr. Chisem stated if the position was postponed until July 26, 2016, the individual, who was currently in a task-assigned position until June 30, 2016, would not be in a position as of July 1, 2016. From an operational standpoint he would need direction as to what would happen to the individual as of July 1, 2016 and that this appointment was what was being deferred until the July 26, 2016 Board meeting.

Ms. Myrick replied staff would have to bring the individual back next week to the Board to be reappointed as an instructional person to ensure she had a job.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Postpone.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on approving job position of Staff Assistant as originally outlined in Item G-3.

A vote was taken to approve the job position of Staff Assistant as originally outlined in Agenda Item G-3. Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Korn, Mrs. Rich Levinson, and Ms. Murray voted no. (3-4 vote)

*G-4. Personnel Recommendations for Non-Instructional Separation of Employment and Discipline for the 2015-2016 & 2016-2017 School Years (Approved)

Approved the personnel recommendations for separation of employment and discipline as listed on the attached respective lists for Non-Instructional staff. All recommendations are made with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida.

*G-5. Supplemental Pay Positions 20

(Approved)

Approved the recommended supplemental pay positions of employees for the 2015/2016 school/fiscal year.

H. OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

I. OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

*I-1. Affiliation Agreements with Arizona State University Board of Regents, Florida Gulf Coast University of Trustees, Florida State University Board of Trustees, Lynn University, Inc. and University of Florida Board of Trustees (Approved)

Approved the Affiliation Agreements for Arizona State University Board of Regents, Florida Gulf Coast University of Trustees, Florida State University Board of Trustees, Lynn University, Inc. and University of Florida Board of Trustees to provide internship opportunities for their students.

J. OFFICE OF FACILITIES & CONSTRUCTION

*J-1. RFQ 16-186C Professional Design Services for Atlantic West Elementary School (Approved)

Approved Authorization to Advertise RFQ 16-186C Professional Design Services for Atlantic West Elementary School; Approve the RFQ Form; and Authorize the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Form.

*J-2. RFQ 16-187C Professional Design Services Hawkes Bluff Elementary School (Approved)

Approved Authorization to Advertise RFQ 16-187C Professional Design Services for Hawkes Bluff Elementary School; Approve the RFQ Form; and Authorize the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Form.

*J-3. RFQ 16-189C Professional Design Services for Lauderdale Manors Early Learning and Resource Center (Approved)

Approved Authorization to Advertise RFQ 16-189C Professional Design Services for Lauderdale Manors Early Learning and Resource Center; Approve the RFQ Form; and Authorize the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Form.

K. OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

*K-1. General Fund Amendment as of March 31, 2016 (Approved)

Approved the attached General Fund Amendment as of March 31, 2016.

K-2. Special Revenue (Grants) Amendment as of March 31, 2016 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the attached Special Revenue (Grants) Amendment as of March 31, 2016. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items K-2 and K-3 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Mrs. Bartleman was concerned there was supplanting at the school level and wanted to know what was in place to ensure it did not occur.

Mr. Leong replied the Title I office calculates the actual allocation school-by-school for Title I. The Budget office does a comparative analysis school-by-school with the General Fund expenditures and the Title I expenditures, compared with non-Title I schools to calculate the ratio. If there was an issue with a school where the ratio was not correct, they would have to make it right. Title I is a major federal program that was required to be audited every year. The auditors were reviewing it, but Mr. Leong was unaware of any exceptions pertaining to a supplanting issue; otherwise they would be cited. The two groups of auditors were the state's Auditor General (AG) and the District's external auditors.

Mrs. Bartleman asked staff to let her know what was in place regarding instructional staff, as well as purchases made by principals, to ensure there was no supplanting involved. She wanted to be sure the Title I funds were being used appropriately.

Luwando Wright-Hines, Director, Title I, Migrant & Special Programs, said it would be hard to monitor, but one way would be to review prior expenses from General Funds from previous years and what was being funded now with federal dollars. She said they do have safeguards in place.

Mr. Leong reiterated if there were any problems with supplanting, the auditors would have found it through their audit.

Dr. Osgood was concerned her colleague had information regarding supplanting that the rest of the Board did not receive.

Mrs. Bartleman stated Mr. Dotres hired a consultant to go through all the budgets. The consultant put forth a list of recommendations for Title I, which was given to the Superintendent, the CFO, and District Chief Auditor. In the list of recommendations were problems with Title I dollars. She did not know if the information was fact or not, but she wanted to bring it up for the record in the event there was a problem with the Title I funds, staff could state they were working to resolve it.

Dr. Osgood felt she was at a disadvantage and could go by the information she had received. She wanted to know if an outside person found something, why was it not caught by the auditors and was that information shared only with her colleague and not with the Board. In order to take the appropriate action, the entire Board should have the same information. She indicated she would like a copy of what was given to her colleague through staff.

Mr. Runcie stated he has to trust the information from the state auditors and the District auditors over someone who was hired off the streets that was a retired principal who was looking for information. He said the consultant was hired by Mr. Dotres to review his budget so he could determine how to re-prioritize funding. The consultant was a retired principal Mr. Dotres had worked with in Miami-Dade and it was for his division only.

Mrs. Freedman commented it compared to having individuals from the community making the review and the Board should rely on the state, the federal government, and the internal auditors as the Superintendent stated.

Mr. Leong added that this was never a formal audit and the individual never shared the results. If he did share the results, Mr. Leong said they would have responded.

Mrs. Rupert was concerned that someone was hired and paid with District money and the Board never received the results.

Ms. Korn inquired about Item K-3 and asked if the Superintendent had sent a letter to the County because the District was behind in its collections from the County. She said because the money was not coming in, she was concerned where this was going to fall at the end of the year.

Mr. Leong indicated he had requested about \$400,000 for the program and there would be a budget request coming to the Board. In comparing the dollar amounts from year-to-year, there was approximately \$300,000-\$400,000 less than last year.

Ms. Korn said if they wait much longer the deficit would be more in lost revenue. She was very supportive of the program.

Mrs. Rich Levinson asked if the District had a contract with the County in the past.

Mr. Leong replied no.

Ms. Murray shared this was a program that had been around a long time. She said before something was eliminated, the program should be reevaluated to come up with some other viable resources.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on these items.

K-3. Interim Financial Statements for the Period Ended March 31, 2016 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the Interim Financial Statements for the Period Ended March 31, 2016. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items K-2 and K-3 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

L. OFFICE OF PORTFOLIO SERVICES

L-1. Reciprocal Use Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and the City of Fort Lauderdale (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve the Reciprocal Use Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and the City of Fort Lauderdale. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Although this item was passed on consent, following the action on Items K-2 and K-3, this item was brought back to the floor for discussion.

No discussion was held on this item. The audience member who pulled this item had already left the meeting when it came up for discussion.

A vote was taken on this item.

OPEN ITEMS

AA. RESOLUTIONS

BB. BOARD MEMBERS

CC. BOARD POLICIES

CC-1. Revisions to Policy 6000.4, Exceptional Student Education Policies and Procedures (SPP) 2015-2016 through 2015-2016 (Adopted)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to adopt Revised Policy 6000.4, Exceptional Student Education Policies and Procedures (SPP) at this reading. Mrs. Bartleman and Mrs. Good were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-1 and CC-2 were moved concurrently.

No discussion from the Board was held on this item.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on these items.

CC-2. Policy 5.3, Mandatory Reporting of Child Abuse, Abandonment, Neglect and/or In Need of Supervision and Care (Adopted)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to adopt the proposed revision to Policy 5.3, Mandatory Reporting of Child Abuse, Abandonment, Neglect and/or In Need of Supervision and Care, for 2016-17 implementation. Mrs. Bartleman and Mrs. Good were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-1 and CC-2 were moved concurrently.

No discussion from the Board was held on this item.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on these items.

CC-3. Policy 5.5, Attendance

(Adopted as Amended)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to adopt the proposed revision to Policy 5.5, Attendance, for 2016-17 implementation. **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Amend (page 23).** Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Rich Levinson would support this item because it was a compromise the Board agreed upon; however, as an individual Board Member, she believed the unexcused absences and make-up work should be left up to the discretion of the teachers. In addition, she did not think students with unexcused absences should receive full credit for make-up work. She referred to pages 4-5, number 3. and said the language agreed to by the Board was reversed and should have read that teachers shall record a 10% reduction in grade for tests and major projects and a minimum of the lowest passing grade of "60" for homework. Mrs. Rich Levinson indicated she wanted to make an amendment after the Board Members had spoken.

Ms. Korn suggested the word "may" be used instead of "shall" so the teacher would have the discretion if there were any extenuating circumstances involved.

Mrs. Freedman asked that a 10% reduction across the board for both homework and tests be considered.

Mrs. Bartleman stated the 10% reduction should be for any grade.

Ms. Korn suggested that language be added for unexcused absences to include, "unless less than 50% of the work was successfully completed" for recording the lowest grade of a "D."

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman and carried, to amend page 4 under Make-Up Work, 3. High Schools:, to read, "Make-up work is allowed for unexcused absences. Teachers may, at their discretion, record a 10% reduction in the grade for tests and major projects that meet standards or proficiency. Teachers may also, at their discretion, record the lowest passing grade (e.g. "D" or "60") for homework, unless less than 50% of the work was successfully completed." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Amend.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

A vote was taken on this item as amended.

CC-4. Final Adoption of Revisions to School Board Policy 1341, Use of Broward County School Facilities for Non-School Purposes (Adopted as Amended)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to adopt the final revisions to School Board Policy 1341, Use of Broward County School Facilities for Non-School Purposes. **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Amend (page 27).** Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-4, CC-5, and CC-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Mrs. Rich Levinson referred to Item CC-5, page 9, number 9, and stated at the workshop that Proof Resubmission was for incoming 6th graders and incoming 9th graders, not for every student in every grade for those schools that were at 102% capacity; however, that could be left at the principal's discretion. The purpose of this was to re-verify their information as they matriculated from elementary to middle and middle to high; otherwise, there could be an old address from K-12.

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1 Enrollment and Withdrawal, page 6 under III. Registration Requirements, A. 4.b., to read, "Moves from elementary school to middle school and from middle to high school at schools that are at 102% permanent capacity and schools that are anticipating a boundary change in the next two (2) years." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Second Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 9 under 9. Proof Resubmission:, to read, "Annually, parent(s) of students who are attending a school whose enrollment is at or exceeding 102% of permanent capacity shall be required to resubmit proofs of residency for incoming sixth graders and incoming ninth graders. If a school is anticipated to undergo a boundary change in the next two (2) years, parents of students in all grades shall be required to resubmit proofs of residency." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on these Motions to Amend.

Mrs. Rich Levinson referenced Item CC-5, page 8, number 8.a., and wanted to ensure all of the provisional domicile/shared home was done on an annual basis, which was removed. On page 8, number 6, language was removed that it had to be produced every 30 days. She indicated she wanted both removals added back in the policy.

Ms. Korn added a friendly amendment that it only applied to an overcrowded school and, instead of 30 days, it was each educational quarter.

Mrs. Rich Levinson accepted the friendly amendment.

Third Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 8, under 6. Shared Home: a., to read, "Student(s) and parent(s) who are living in shared housing (e.g., with extended family or friends) or are experiencing a transition unrelated to economic hardship, shall submit an Affidavit of Shared Housing Form that is completed, dated, and signed by both the parent(s) and the owner/renter of the home under oath before a notary, and will be reviewed every educational quarter at every school at 102% or above permanent capacity; and...."

Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Rupert voted no. (7-1 vote)

Fourth Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 8, under 8. Undocumented: a., to read," Families who are unable to provide proof of address due to extenuating circumstances, including, but not limited to undocumented immigration status, shall complete an *Affidavit of Shared Housing Form* on an annual basis." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Rupert voted no. (7-1 vote)

A vote was taken on these Motions to Amend.

Mrs. Rupert referred to Item CC-5, on page 12, V.-Withdrawal, C., pertaining to the exit interview and drop out survey for students prior to graduation. She requested that each school measure those results and make changes in their School Improvement Plan (SIP) if it arises to a pattern for improvement. She believed the survey information needed to be utilized in order to provide a better experience for students at those schools. Mrs. Rupert stated this was more procedural than for policy but did not know where it would need to be placed.

Ralph Aiello, Supervisor, Guidance/BRACE/Academic Achievement, replied they were creating a District-wide withdrawal form to capture that data.

Mrs. Rupert asked staff to include the reason why the students were leaving.

Referring to Item CC-6, Mrs. Rich Levinson commented to staff that they ensure dyslexia universal screening was being added for the following school year. She also wanted to ensure all elementary schools were being surveyed regarding Physical Education (PE) because it was the law and students were supposed to have 150 minutes of PE instruction per week. She said the time was needed and academically it would benefit the students.

Valerie Wanza, Chief School Performance & Accountability Officer, replied they were working on it and planned to speak to principals about PE, as well as recess, for the coming school year.

Ms. Myrick advised the Board that they would need to amend Policy 6000.1 so the make-up work was addressed in the same language as Policy 5.5.

Fifth Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman and carried, to amend Policy 6000.1 Student Progression Plan, to incorporate the revised language moved from Policy 5.5 - Attendance, pertaining to Make-up Work to read as follows: Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

MAKE-UP WORK

Make-up work is allowed for full credit and grade for excused absences.

Make-up work is allowed for unexcused absences with the following stipulations:

- 1. **Elementary Schools:** Make-up work is allowed for full credit and grade.
- 2. **Middle Schools:** Make-up work is allowed for full credit and grade. For high school courses taken at the middle school level, high school guidelines below apply.
- 3. **High Schools:** Make-up work is allowed for unexcused absences. Teachers may, at their discretion, record a 10% reduction in the grade for tests and major projects that meet standards or proficiency. Teachers may also, at their discretion, record the lowest passing grade (e.g. "D" or "60") for homework, unless less than 50% of the work was successfully completed.

All make-up work must be submitted within two days, not including the day of return, for each day of an absence. Previously assigned work is due the day of return. Under extenuating circumstances and at teacher's discretion, additional time may be allowed.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Amend.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

Ms. Korn referred to Item CC-4, page 3, under 5.a., number 2, and was uncomfortable with the words "can" and "could" in this section and requested adding, "but is not required to" to the language.

Leslie Brown, Chief Portfolio Services Officer, said the intent was that the principal would work with the School Allied Group (SAG) to discuss the costs and give the principal an opportunity to recoup some of the costs, if any, from the SAG.

Motion to Amend (No action was taken on this motion) Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Rupert to amend Item CC-4, Policy 1341, page 3, under 5.a. School Allied Groups, 2., to eliminate the PTAs, SAFs, and SACs from the policy.

Mrs. Bartleman commented that the SAC and SAF teams did not have independent budgets to pay for a facility or any costs. She believed all of the events at a school were what made the school great and they should not be charged for those events.

Mrs. Rich Levinson agreed and stated any group that was benefiting from the school directly should not have to pay for any costs. She suggested adding language such as, "if it benefitted the school directly, it would not apply." If it were a revenue-producing event they would be expected to cover the charges. She indicated charging those groups that competed was a problem.

Motion to Separate and Table (Withdrawn)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman to separate and table Item CC-4 until later in the meeting so staff could provide suggested language.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Separate and Table Item CC-4.

Mrs. Brown returned and offered suggested language to amend Section 5.a., 2., on page 3.

Mrs. Rupert withdrew her motion and Mrs. Bartleman withdrew her second.

Sixth Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to amend Item CC-4, Policy 1341, page 3, under 5.a. School Allied Groups, 2., to read, "If the school principal or facility director determines that event(s) planned, organized, or sponsored by SAG may create additional expenses to the school, and/or if school personnel, such as custodian, is needed for the event(s), the school principal or facility director will work with the SAG to minimize or eliminate any anticipated expense to the school, to allow the SAG to utilize the school facility." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on Items CC-4 through CC-6 as amended.

A vote was taken on Items CC-4 through CC-6 as amended.

CC-5. Revisions to Policy 5.1 Enrollment and Withdrawal (Adopted as Amended)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to adopt revisions to Policy 5.1, Enrollment and Withdrawal, at this final reading. **This motion was superseded by Motions to Amend (page 28)**. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-4, CC-5, and CC-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 6 under III. Registration Requirements, A. 4.b., to read, "Moves from elementary school to middle school and from middle to high school at schools that are at 102% permanent capacity and schools that are anticipating a boundary change in the next two (2) years." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Second Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 9 under 9. Proof Resubmission:, to read, "Annually, parent(s) of students who are attending a school whose enrollment is at or exceeding 102% of permanent capacity shall be required to resubmit proofs of residency for incoming sixth graders and incoming ninth graders. If a school is anticipated to undergo a boundary change in the next two (2) years, parents of students in all grades shall be required to resubmit proofs of residency." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

<u>Third Motion to Amend</u> (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 8, under 6. Shared Home: a., to read, "Student(s) and parent(s) who are living in shared housing (e.g., with extended family or friends) or are experiencing a transition unrelated to economic hardship, shall submit an Affidavit of Shared Housing Form that is completed, dated, and signed by both the parent(s) and the owner/renter of the home under oath before a notary, will be reviewed every educational quarter at every school at 102% or above permanent capacity; and...."

Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Rupert voted no. (7-1 vote)

Fourth Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to amend Item CC-5, Policy 5.1, page 8, under 8. Undocumented: a., to read," Families who are unable to provide proof of address due to extenuating circumstances, including, but not limited to undocumented immigration status, shall complete an *Affidavit of Shared Housing Form* on an annual basis." Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Rupert voted no. (7-1 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motions to Amend.

A vote was taken on Items CC-4 through CC-6 as amended.

CC-6. Revisions to Policy 6000.1 Student Progression Plan (Adopted as Amended)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to adopt revisions to Policy 6000.1, Student Progression Plan, at this final reading. **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Amend (page 29).** Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-4, CC-5, and CC-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to amend Policy 6000.1, Student Progression Plan, to incorporate the revised language moved from Policy 5.5 - Attendance, pertaining to Make-up Work to read as follows: Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

MAKE-UP WORK

Make-up work is allowed for full credit and grade for excused absences.

Make-up work is allowed for unexcused absences with the following stipulations:

- 1. Elementary Schools: Make-up work is allowed for full credit and grade.
- 2. **Middle Schools:** Make-up work is allowed for full credit and grade. For high school courses taken at the middle school level, high school guidelines below apply.
- 3. **High Schools:** Make-up work is allowed for unexcused absences. Teachers may, at their discretion, record a 10% reduction in the grade for tests and major projects that meet standards or proficiency. Teachers may also, at their discretion, record the lowest passing grade (e.g. "D" or "60") for homework, unless less than 50% of the work was successfully completed.

All make-up work must be submitted within two days, not including the day of return, for each day of an absence. Previously assigned work is due the day of return. Under extenuating circumstances and at teacher's discretion, additional time may be allowed.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Amend.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

A vote was taken on Items CC-4 through CC-6 as amended.

DD. OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR

EE. OFFICE OF STRATEGY & OPERATIONS

EE-1. Grant Applications - Post-Submission

(Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the submission of the following grant applications (A - N):

A. Dart Foundation - Deerfield Beach..., \$5,000 (requested) B. Dart Foundation - Lauderdale Lakes..., \$4,310 (awarded) C. Dart Foundation - Quiet Waters..., \$10,000 (requested) D. Florida Department of Education: Title X, Part C..., \$342,000 (requested) E. Fuel Up to Play 60..., \$5,000 (requested) F. Law Enforcement Trust Fund, \$7,763 (awarded) G. McGraw Hill, \$2,500 (awarded) H. Multiagency Services Network for Students..., \$128,000 (requested) I. National Football League Foundation, \$10,000 (awarded) J. National Science Foundation...,\$132,723 (requested) K. Sears Holding Corporation, \$15,000 (requested) L. Sprint Foundation, \$15,000 (requested) M. Wells Fargo Community Connection..., \$54,000 (awarded) N. Wells Fargo Foundation - Whiddon Rogers..., \$1,000 (awarded). Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-1 and EE-2 were moved and discussed concurrently.

The schools and individuals, as noted in the agenda item, were recognized by the Board for going above and beyond to apply for and having grants awarded.

A vote was taken on these items.

EE-2. Grant Applications - Pre-Submission

(Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the submission of the following grant applications (A - L): **A.** 2016-17 Enhanced Instructional Opportunities..., \$1,197,609 (to be requested) **B.** 2016-17 Title III, Part A..., \$4,143,594 (to be requested) **C.** Adult Education Family..., \$2,154,362 (to be requested) **D.** Adult Education Family..., \$640,638 (to be requested) **E.** Adults with Disabilities, \$800,000 (to be requested) **F.** Florida...Carl D. Perkins Post-Secondary Grant, \$659,364 (to be requested) **G.** Florida...Carl D. Perkins Secondary Grant, \$2,450,469 (to be requested) **H.** Teacher Incentive Fund..., \$50,000,000 (to be requested) **I.** Title I, Part A..., \$79,087,341 (to be requested) **J.** Title I, Part C..., \$75,622 (to be requested) **K.** Title I, Part D..., \$693,608 (to be requested) **L.** Title II, Part A..., \$8,693,375 (to be requested). Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-1 and EE-2 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

June 21, 2016

Minutes of Regular Meeting Page 30 of 64 Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (as operator High: Hallandale, South Broward, South Plantation, and Stranahan) and The Florida Endowment Foundation for Florida's Graduates Inc., d/b/a Jobs for Florida's Graduates. Dr. Osgood was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Stephanie Pollard, Director, Grants Administration & Government Programs, introduced Peter Olstein, Vice President of this foundation, who reviewed some of the statistics from his handout.

A vote was taken on this item.

EE-4. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 16-106B - Sanitary Washroom Supplies (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: June 22, 2016, through June 21, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Procurement & Warehousing Services; Award Amount: \$3,710,000; Awarded Vendor(s): 6 Vendors; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): Neeld Paper & Supplies, Inc., and RSD Majestic Supply Company of South Florida, Inc. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert to approve the above separated items. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Rupert referred to EE-4 stated this Request for Proposal (RFP) was originally one (1) that was separated into two (2) RFPs. She wanted to know financially, what the bottom line was when the soap was taken out and what the total monthly forecast would be with the removal of the soap.

Brian Little, Manager, Warehousing Services, replied they average approximately \$1.2 million in sanitary and washroom supplies and products over the course of a year. The previous bid was June 21, 2011, which was for \$5 million spread over four (4) years and 10 months. He said they came to the Board on October 20, 2015, requesting \$670,000 to carry them out through the end of the bid. The request before the Board today for \$3,710,000, an average of \$1.2 million over a three-year period.

Mr. Little said the hand soap and dispensers were not included in the bid because they were transitioning to a new product. The green soap was no longer being manufactured and was being discontinued. He explained the old dispensers were \$45 each but the new dispensers were no charge to the District. In addition, they were high-end and fire retardant. He stated the previous price for the soap was \$17.50 per case and the new price was \$32 per case. The projection was that the soap would last twice as long and therefore, realize a significant reduction in the price for hand soap and dispensers. Mr. Little informed the Board that the silver dispensers had a tendency to break; however, the new dispensers were high-end plastic, fire retardant, and easy to repair if necessary.

Mrs. Rupert thanked staff for the explanation. She stated she was looking for the average monthly expenditure. Referring to the Financial Analysis Worksheet, she inquired if the \$90,500 was inclusive of the soap.

Mr. Little said it was a 10% increase and was not included in the \$90,500. The original pricing was from the five-year old bid and when it was re-bid, the prices went up by a 10% margin. He stated they were forecasting \$375,00 for hand soap and dispensers over the next three years.

Mrs. Rupert clarified the total spend was \$5.3 million for the previous bid and asked if it included the soap.

Ms. Coker responded no, it did not include the soap. When they did the analysis, they excluded the soap from the dollar amount.

Mrs. Rupert questioned what was the new spend for two RFPs.

Ms. Coker replied it was \$375,000 more than the \$5.3 million.

Mrs. Rupert requested staff to have the increase reflected in the future. She referred to EE-5 and stated she liked the new form and that it merged the Purchase Orders (POs) and P-Card purchases; however, she was not thrilled with the overspend of \$440,598. She asked staff what was being done to ensure it would not happen again.

Ms. Coker responded they have a couple of triggers they identified. They can now run an aging report with both, Systems, Applications & Products (SAP) and P-Card, PO expenditures combined. The improvements would include the no usage of P-Cards as a method of payment for the purchases of materials. A P-Card should be a method of payment, not a method of procurement. Moving forward, no purchases would be made having a bid number through a P-Card; it would only be made through a Purchase Requisition (PR) or PO process. This process should eliminate 85% of PPO's total P-Card expenditures. She said exceptions would be on an emergency basis or if the vendor did not accept the terms and conditions.

Mrs. Rupert referred to EE-16 and stated the Financial Impact was double the amount. She asked if the numbers were "run" to determine if it could be done in-house. She could not justify doubling the spend authority from \$2.2 million to \$4.5 when staff had the skillset to do the work.

Mr. Hunter replied in all cases, contractors are working with staff. The increase in spend authority was, in large part, an increase in the work with the Safety, Music & Art, Athletics, Renovation & Technology (SMART) bond and all the other projects taking place in the District. He stated in the first two years as shown in the Executive Summary, there was very little spend, but in the last year alone there was \$1.4 million with the spend. Mr. Hunter said they typically do not use the contract for everyone. In addition, whenever possible, they use internal staff first before contracting, unless they were looking for a specific skillset.

Mrs. Rupert pointed out that a tremendous amount of Technical Support Professionals (TSPs) were retiring and that they should be hired in conjunction with something like this. She asked staff to have a conversation with the TSPs.

Mr. Hunter responded he would commit to meeting with them and look for opportunities to hire them.

Mrs. Rupert stated she would not be able to support unless there was a commitment to replace the TSPs that were let go several years ago.

Second Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Item EE-16. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

A vote was taken on the separated item, EE-16. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman and Mrs. Rupert voted no. (6-2 vote)

Mrs. Rupert asked staff to provide a cost differential from several years when the TSPs were doing these jobs to where the District is now. Referring to Item EE-14, she stated she did not understand why the contract from last year could not be used without all the extras.

Mr. Hunter showed a presentation on how the apps would run.

On page 20 of 27, Mrs. Rupert referred to the middle paragraph, mid-way through where it stated, "In addition, the solution may also provide parents access to their children's lunch balance, bus route, and library balance information...," she questioned whether it did or did not provide access. If so, the word "may" should be removed.

Mr. Hunter replied yes and the reason it said "may" was because some districts chose not to provide access; however, this District would provide access.

Mrs. Rupert referred to the second to the last paragraph and asked staff if this was being bought and should be delineated.

Mr. Hunter said no. The social media part being bought was the ability to push the message out through Twitter or Facebook, but not to monitor social media interactions.

Mrs. Rupert indicated the legal staff needed to ensure that was not in the contract or she would not be able to support it. She inquired if the Board decided to remove the extra items at a later time because it did not like them, would they be able to have them removed from the package.

Mr. Hunter responded the District had the ability to turn on or turn off any item it did not want to use.

Mrs. Rupert was still not 100% in support of this item, but would listen to what her colleagues had to say.

Mrs. Rich Levinson was thrilled with this item. She stated the Parent-Community Involvement Task Force was on the mobile app being able to do things like this, particularly, to meet parents where they were and provide the information. She believed it was key for open houses where principals could have parents put the app on their phone at that time and suggested that staff make it part of the open houses.

Mrs. Brinkworth inquired when it would be available.

Mr. Hunter replied it would not be until September or October before it went live; however they would still be able to have parents download it on their phone and when it was fully up, they would receive a message prompting them to update it.

Ms. Korn thought it was very exciting but was unsure of the value the District was getting for \$835,000 over two (2) years. She wanted to know if some items were unbundled, what would the savings be. In addition, she did not see the value of the library because she did not think many parents would access it, or bus tracking, in which the District already purchased software with the capacity to provide real-time information to parents.

Maurice Woods, Chief Strategy & Operations Officer, responded the software they purchased was Edu-Tracker and at that time, they were trying to improve their data integrity. There were conversations regarding the functions and features, in which one was called a parent portal that allowed parents to track bus data. That parent portal was not part of the package they purchased. He stated with the new app coming, there may not be a need to add it.

Ms. Korn asked if the app software would interface with Edu-Tracker, without having the parent portal purchase.

Mr. Hunter replied yes, they had the capability. In addition, to her earlier question, to unbundle anything would not save the District anything; it was an all or nothing purchase. He stated what was available through the app and not available anywhere else through the District, was 1) the updated and immediate attendance data that notifies parents immediately if their child was not in class; 2) the ability as to how parents would be communicated with; and 3) the ability for principals to enter a message one time and have it posted to different locations. Mr. Hunter shared with the Board that they were working to ensure all applications were integrated with the ParentLink and school-based websites.

Ms. Korn inquired if additional funding would come from the Information Technology Operating Budget.

Mr. Hunter responded no, they would be absorbing this cost. The money would come from the Network Operations Center, which had been dismantled and brought in-house. They would be using dollars already within their budget to fund this item.

Ms. Korn reiterated she was concerned if an \$835,000 spend over two (2) years better used somewhere else. This app makes it easier for parents to obtain information, but the information was not anything new that they did not have before. She did not feel all of it was critical for an app. If the dollars were available, she wanted them spent in schools in a different way.

Mrs. Bartleman agreed and stated most of these things were on the app for Broward County Schools. She believed the only things being bought for \$835,000 over two (2) years were how parents choose to be communicated with and attendance.

Mr. Hunter responded the app for Pinnacle required the parent to log-in for each child, whereas, this app only required parents to log-in once and they had access for every child.

Mrs. Bartleman asked if his tech people that work on special projects could work on Pinnacle to have it interface and an app created in the way parents wish to communicate.

Mr. Hunter replied he did not think it would be the best use of District funds. He said the District's core business was education, but from a technology standpoint, he firmly believed they should be developing and deploying technology that supports the instructional and educational process. He continued to explain when an app is developed, there needed to be developers that constantly have to maintain it, update it, and change it. In the long run it would be a development cycle the District could not afford. The off-the-shelf tools that are available for purchase, the District could do this more efficiently.

Mrs. Bartleman reiterated that she did not think it was a good idea to spend \$835,000 over two (2) years for something the District already had in place and she would be voting no on this item.

Ms. Murray had a different view stating these were the tools for young people and she believed it was worth the investment. Communication had been one of the biggest issues and it was important to give parents additional information and make them comfortable. She said this would allow the students to access information more readily as well. Ms. Murray stated this would provide peace of mind and, in her opinion, the cost of \$1.60 per student was worth the investment. She indicated she would definitely support this item.

Mrs. Freedman commented this was something Board Members had been requesting for four (4) years. She said the Board had wanted one place to access everything, without several log-ins, and she was happy to see it brought forward. Her only suggestion was when parents received an absence notice about their child(ren), that they had the ability to communicate back as to whether or not the absence was excused.

Mr. Hunter responded that the two-way communication was not available at this time.

Ms. Korn requested staff to provide a follow-up on the cost for the parent portal if this did not pass. If this item passed, she requested the contract include the real-time bus information.

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to amend EE-14, First Amendment to Agreement and Additional Spending Authority 55-119E - ParentLink Engagement Solutions-Parlant, to include, "Real-time bus information into the contract at no additional cost." Ms. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman voted no. (7-1 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

Mrs. Bartleman had concerns that some schools had access to everything for free and other schools did not. Her daughter attends Falcon Cove Middle School and was able to bookmark websites on her I-Phone for free.

Mr. Hunter said her concern was valid because the challenge across the District was equity. He stated that not all schools deploy the same abilities, such as the texting system, and not all parents have the same access to the information.

Mrs. Rich Levinson stated having been involved with the Parent-Community Involvement Task Force, equity was a major concern. She said although these things were available, they were not happening at the majority of the District's schools. She agreed that having one place to access everything was what the Board had been requesting for years. The return on \$1.60 per student would pay for itself by getting parents involved with their child(ren)'s education.

Call the Question (Failed)

A Call the Question was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and failed due to a tie and not reaching a majority vote.

Mrs. Bartleman did not think it was an equity issue. She felt it was a leadership issue with the principals, which fell on the Chief Officer of School Performance & Accountability. Everything happening in the schools that was mentioned was capable of being done at every school at no cost, as it was at Falcon Cove. She said it was a principal-based issue and whether or not staff chose to utilize the resources.

Mrs. Brinkworth inquired if the real-time attendance was available now on Pinnacle.

Mr. Hunter replied no.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to separate Item EE-14. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on Item EE-14.

Mrs. Brinkworth requested staff research if web access was available. She indicated if this item passed, staff would need to closely monitor the utilization of the app because it would only be as good as usage.

Mrs. Bartleman asked if the contract could come back the following year so it could be budgeted for open house.

Mr. Hunter replied it could, but he would not be able to guarantee what the contract would look like in terms of pricing, applications, and functionality. He closed by saying he would work with the company to determine if the app could be up and running by the start of the school year, rather than putting it off for another year.

A vote was taken on Item EE-14 as amended. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman, Ms. Korn, and Mrs. Rupert voted no. (5-3 vote)

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to separate Item EE-18. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

Mrs. Rich Levinson read a statement that she would be abstaining from voting on this item because her husband worked for one of the law firms.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on Item EE-18. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Rich Levinson abstained from the vote. (7-0 vote)

Ms. Korn referred to Items EE-11 and EE-12 and asked staff moving forward where they saw the District in terms of a budget perspective, and did they foresee an increase in department based on these two contracts.

Mr. Leong replied McAfee security system software was normally paid from the General Fund. The state law indicated when a computer was bought, it came with the operating system for the software to work, so state law allows the computer and operating system to be bought together with Capital funds. Due to the security system being an intricate part to protect the computer, staff was trying to determine if it could be purchased with Capital dollars, which would be easier to fund. The second item, Filemaker Pro, could not come from Capital funds and would cause an increase in the department's budget.

Ms. Korn explained to her colleagues the reason she brought this up was to remind them they would be voting on a budget that they had not seen and would not know what the impact would be next year. Their action today would mean they would have to come up with the difference in the budget next year. She wanted the public to know that the price was less per unit, but there was a larger amount of unit.

A vote was taken on the remaining Items EE-4, EE-5, EE-11, and EE-12.

EE-5. Bid Renewal and Additional Spending Authority - 15-001R - HVAC-R and Cooling Tower Equipment (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the bid renewal and additional spending authority for above bid. Contract Term: July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2017, 3 Years; Use Department: Physical Plant Operations; New Award Amount: \$7,800,000; Awarded Vendor(s): 7; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): Allied Controls, Inc.; and P.E.C.O. Enterprises, Inc. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

A vote was taken on the remaining Items EE-4, EE-5, EE-11, and EE-12.

EE-6. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 16-113T - Recycling Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Environmental Conservation/Utility Management Department; Award Amount: \$1,215,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Thoroughbred Waste Services, Inc.; Sunshine Recycling Services of SWFL, LLC; and World Waste Recycling, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-7. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 16-123B - Plasticware and Flatware Cutlery for Cafeterias (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2018, 2 Years; User Department: Food and Nutrition Services; Award Amount: \$1,110,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Daxwell; All Florida Paper, LLC; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-8. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 16-156B - Disposable Compartment Trays for Cafeterias (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2018, 2 Years; User Department: Food and Nutrition Services; Award Amount: \$4,610,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Lace Food Service Corporation; Dade Paper & Bag Co.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-9. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 17-015H - Frozen Dessert for Cafeterias (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: August 1, 2016, through July 31, 2017, 1 Year; User Department: Food and Nutrition Services; Award Amount: \$1,200,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Food Fantasies, Inc., d/b/a Frozen Treats; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-10. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 17-014H - Fruit Juices for Cafeterias (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: August 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Food and Nutrition Services; Award Amount: \$6,700,000: Awarded Vendor(s); Food Fantasies, Inc., d/b/a Frozen Treats; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-11. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 16-150E - Intel Security - McAfee Endpoint/Data Loss Prevention (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Information & Technology Department; Award Amount: \$923,097; Awarded Vendors(s): Digitalera Group, LLC; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

A vote was taken on the remaining Items EE-4, EE-5, EE-11, and EE-12.

EE-12. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 16-151E - FileMaker Database Program (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Information & Technology; Award Amount: \$527,067; Awarded Vendor(s): Database Development Services, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

June 21, 2016

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

A vote was taken on the remaining Items EE-4, EE-5, EE-11, and EE-12.

EE-13. Piggyback Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 56-103T - Playground Equipment, Surfacing, and Fabric Shade Structures

(Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to piggyback for the above contract. Contract Term: June 22, 2016, through July 31, 2018, 2 Years, 1 Month; User Department: Physical Plant Operations and Office of Facilities and Construction; Award Amount: \$6,260,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Apollo Sunguard Systems, Inc.; Bliss Products and Services, Inc.; Lanier Plans, Inc., d/b/a Korkat; Leadex Corporation; Playmore West, Inc.; Rep Services, Inc.; and Superior Park Services, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-14. First Amendment to Agreement and Additional Spending Authority 55-119E - ParentLink Engagement Solutions-Parlant (Approved as Amended)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation for first amendment to agreement and additional spending authority. Contract Term: May 1, 2015, through June 30, 2018, 3 Years, 2 Months. User Department: Information & Technology; New Award Amount: \$2,258,967: Awarded Vendor(s): Parlant Inc., Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. This motion was superseded by a Motion to Amend (page 44). Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman, Ms. Korn, and Mrs. Rupert voted no. (5-3 vote)

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to amend EE-14, First Amendment to Agreement and Additional Spending Authority 55-119E - ParentLink Engagement Solutions-Parlant, to include, "Real-time bus information into the contract at no additional cost." Ms. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman voted no. (7-1 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to separate Item EE-14. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on Item EE-14.

A vote was taken on Item EE-14 as amended.

EE-15. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 17-012N - Touch Screen Monitors, Cash Drawer and Keypads for MCS System (Cafeteria) (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above ITB. Bid Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Food and Nutrition Services; Award Amount: \$800,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Heartland Payment Systems, LLC d/b/a Heartland School Solutions, TekVisions, Inc.; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-16. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 17-006V - Technical Contract Staffing and Consulting Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Information & Technology Department; Award Amount: \$4,500,000; Awarded Vendor(s): 28 Vendors; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): Cochhbha Enterprises, Inc., Intelli ERP Software, LLC, Key Technical Resources, Radgov, Inc., and Synchronous Solutions. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman and Mrs. Rupert voted no. (6-2 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Item EE-16. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

A vote was taken on the separated item, EE-16. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman and Mrs. Rupert voted no. (6-2 vote)

EE-17. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 17-005V - Occupational and Physical Therapy Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2019, 3 Years; User Department: Exceptional Student Education and Support Services; Award Amount: \$13,000,000; Awarded Vendor(s) 47; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): 37. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Items EE-6 through EE-10, EE-13, EE-15, and EE-17. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the separated items.

A vote was taken on the separated items.

EE-18. Third Amendment to Agreement and Additional Spending Authority - 14-037V - Federal and State Lobbying Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the Third Amendment to Agreement and additional spending authority for the above contract. Contract Term: July 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, 6 Months; User Department: Legislative Affairs; Award Amount: \$649,581; Awarded Vendor(s): Alcalde & Fay, Ltd. Inc., Becker & Poliakoff, P.A., and Colodny Fass, PA; Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. Mrs. Rich Levinson abstained from the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-4 through EE-18 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to separate Item EE-18. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

Mrs. Rich Levinson read a statement that she would be abstaining from voting on this item because her husband worked for one of the law firms.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on Item EE-18.

FF. OFFICE OF ACADEMICS

FF-1. Continuation of Cooperative Agreement and Calendars with Pre-School Providers for Children with Disabilities (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the continuation of the Cooperative Agreements and Calendars between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC) and Pre-School Providers for Children with Disabilities. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Mrs. Brinkworth inquired how the schools were selected for FF-4.

Daryl Diamond, Director, Innovative Learning & Arts, replied they were based on different criteria. She said they had digital schools and Sprouting STEM schools they wanted included that had already been using the Learning.com platform, so there were specific reasons why they choose the schools they did.

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to the Executive Summary where it mentioned 91 schools using individual student licenses and 41 schools using site licenses and later explaining the difference between the two licenses. She asked if staff was going to monitor the usage.

Dr. Diamond responded these schools would be used as the first phase. They had a responsibility with the digital classroom plan to report a couple of things, such as the keyboarding being taught and determining how well students were learning the digital skills.

A vote was taken on these items.

FF-2. Continuation of Agreement with The School Board of Broward County, Florida and Alternate Educational Systems, Inc. (Postponed)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Ms. Korn to approve the Agreement with The School Board of Broward County, (SBBC) Florida and Alternate Educational Systems, Inc. **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Postpone (page 49).** Mrs. Rich Levinson was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Good said the agreement was dated July 1, 2016, which was a week away. She wanted to know why it was not brought to the Board a month earlier and what would happen if it did not pass.

Dr. Hickman replied it would affect some students that were being served by Extended School Year (ESY) services. He stated he wanted to bring this sooner but this was his first contract and there were several things he needed to do before bringing it to the Board.

Mrs. Good stated although the agreement indicated SBBC throughout, there was no mention of the school of record, which was Whispering Pines. She said SBBC represented the School Board and the school of record and other departments needed to be delineated in the agreement according to the responsibility. She asked if the school of record was supposed to change.

Dr. Wanza responded they were looking to transition it from one school to another; however, she wanted to speak to the school that had the program to determine if there was a way to keep the program there because they have more of the services offered to students.

Mrs. Good said in the past, the school had an issue of numerous substitutes for an extended time and felt the contract should state substitutes should not be used for a long-term basis because that was not the intent of having a substitute teacher.

Mrs. Good indicated her bigger concern was that the agreement required the District to conduct background checks on employees, but she knew from the school of record that there had been issues where they were not informed as to a change in staffing. If the District was not conducting the background checks and another entity was, it needed to be specified on page 10, under Section 2.55-Background Screening, in the agreement. She believed language needed to be added that would notify the school of record of any changes in staffing. Pertaining to the issue of funds being used to hire an administrator or principal, she wanted language added to page 7, Section 2.36-Distribution of Funds, to reflect that funds could not be used for administrative costs in case there was a change in management. Another concern was that the agreement did not address the registration of students.

Mrs. Good said nowhere in the contract did it mention students had to be registered and, although it may be common sense to do that, she wanted to know what had to be done to address the issue and what language needed to be added to ensure that registration took place.

Dr. Wanza suggested quarterly or monthly meetings between the school of record and the program administrator staff to review contract compliance, student records/enrollment; a myriad of things could be inserted to formalize the expectation of some type of monthly conversation.

Dr. Hickman stated additional language for registration could be placed in Section 2.20 under Transition.

Pertaining to where the funding should go in the contract, Mrs. Good suggested placing it under a school as Whispering Pines versus a school like Wingate Oaks. She understood staff felt this program was providing the services for the students and she supported that; however, she wanted to ensure the agreement was stronger and provided the tools necessary to staff and the school of record to be sure the entity was providing the necessary resources to District students. Unless the agreement was revised, she would not be able to support it as it was written.

Ms. Korn referred to page 3, Section 2.18-AES Incident Procedures, last line. She asked staff to help her understand how it would be allowed in this agreement with a third party when the District's policy stated it would no longer be used.

Dr. Hickman replied AES was a state hospital and it was part of their program. The District had to contract with them because they were not in Broward schools. He said they provided their own staff and of the 38 students that were there, 10 were Broward County students.

For clarification purposes, Ms. Korn stated that the District would have no authority because the procedures were within AES's own policy that had to be followed, being that it was a state hospital.

Mrs. Bartleman added that this was the most restrictive setting a student could be placed. If they were in District schools, they would be Bakeracted repeatedly, so the seclusion room would be part of the process.

Mrs. Good concluded that the school of record was Whispering Pines and the principal had raised some great concerns. She believed in moving forward, the issues would be addressed. Additionally, she felt the agreement should spell and dictate all the items discussed.

Motion to Postpone (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried to postpone this item until the June 28, 2016 Special School Board Meeting. Mrs. Rich Levinson was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on the Motion to Postpone.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Postpone.

FF-3. Continuation of Agreement with The School Board of Broward County, Florida and Community Mental Health Provider (Postponed)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rupert, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth to approve the Agreement with The School Board of Broward County, Florida and Community Mental Health Provider. This motion was superseded by a Motion to Postpone (page 50). (9-0 vote)

Mrs. Good had concerns this location lacked technology, textbooks, and other resources.

Ms. Mucenic responded this was a new contract and was not aware of any of the concerns mentioned.

Dr. Hickman stated they would meet with the staff to address any concerns.

Mrs. Good said it appeared there was a disconnect with some of the agencies that were providing resources and she requested routine meetings occur with the leadership of the school of record, the agency, and Exceptional Student Education (ESE) to ensure everyone was on the same page. She wanted to see a follow-up from staff as to how this would be addressed before she supported this item. In addition, she suggested the school of record be present at School Board meetings to answer any questions that may arise regarding the school and the agency.

Ms. Korn was concerned with what her colleague said; however, she did not have the information her colleague did to speak to it. She indicated she did not want to support something that was not in the best interest of the District.

Mrs. Good conveyed she had conversations with Whispering Pines regarding another agreement and it was shared with her that there was a lack of textbooks and technology. She believed it would be best to postpone the item until staff could provide a follow-up to the Board on all the issues.

Mrs. Bartleman stated that, unlike the other facilities, the teachers for this facility were hired by the District. If there was a textbook problem, it fell on the District to resolve.

Dr. Hickman reiterated they were not aware of any problems but would meet with the school of record to discuss and investigate the concerns.

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to page 2 under 2.04, and stated letter "e." addressed some of the concerns mentioned and she looked forward to a follow-up as well.

Dr. Osgood stated sections 2.08 and 2.09 on page 3 seemed to contradict each other and wanted to know if the Code of Student Conduct dictated the classroom rules or if Smith Community did.

Dr. Hickman indicated the teachers would be provided by the District to the agency and they would have to follow the agency's rules; however, he would review the language.

Motion to Postpone (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, with acclamation of the Board and carried, to postpone this item until the June 28, 2016 Special School Board Meeting to allow staff to provide requested follow-up. (9-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Postpone.

FF-4. The Learning Internet, Inc. - Software for Developing Keyboarding Skills and Digital Literacy (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve for use with selected schools across the District to improve student keyboarding skills and development of digital literacy. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the adoption of the recommended instructional materials for Secondary Mathematics and sign off on the Certification and Documentation Regarding Instructional Materials to be sent to the State. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

FF-6. Amendment 005 to Road to Child Outcomes (RTCO) Contract between the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) of Broward County, Florida and The School Board of Broward County (SBBC), Florida (Approved)

SEE ITEM F-3

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve amendment 005 to Road to Child Outcomes Contract between the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) of Broward County, Florida and The School Board of Broward County (SBBC), Florida. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

FF-7. Amendment and Renewal to Scoliosis Screening Contract between the Florida Dept. of Health-Broward and The SBBC, Florida for 2016-2017 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approved the attached Amendment 0001 with Business Associate Agreement (BAA) to contract BW516 which was Board approved on September 1, 2015. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

FF-8. Agreement between The Children's Services Council of Broward County (CSC) and The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC) (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the Agreement between The Children's Services Council of Broward County (CSC) and The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC). Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

FF-9. Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and Carlton Palms Educational Center, Inc. (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and Carlton Palms Educational Center, Inc. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

FF-10. Provider agreement between the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) of Broward County, Florida and The School Board of Broward County (SBBC), Florida (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Rich Levinson, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approved the provider agreement between the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) of Broward County, Florida and The School Board of Broward County (SBBC) to implement the 2016-2017 Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten (VPK) Education Program and to authorize the Superintendent of Schools or his designee to provide any electronic signatures necessary to execute the provider agreement. Mrs. Good and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items FF-1 and FF-4 through FF-10 were moved and discussed concurrently.

GG. OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES

HH. OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

HH-1. Deputy and Assistant General Counsel Contract Renewals (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve (1) the Seventh Amendment to Employment Agreements for Deputy General Counsels Marylin Batista-McNamara and Robert Paul Vignola and Assistant General Counsel Thomas C. Cooney and (2) the First Amendment to Employment Agreement with Kathelyn Jacques-Adams. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items HH-1 and HH-2 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Mrs. Bartleman asked the General Counsel if she would be conducting the evaluations of all the District attorneys.

Ms. Myrick replied yes.

A vote was taken on these items.

HH-2. Contract Renewals for Assistant General Counsel Douglas G. Griffin and Administrative Counsel Tria Lawton-Russell (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the Second Amendment to Employment Agreements for Assistant General Counsel Douglas G. Griffin and Administrative Counsel Tria Lawton-Russell. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items HH-1 and HH-2 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

II. OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

II-1. Annual dues and fees of AdvancED for Accreditation (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Murray, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the payments to AdvancED (\$5,675.00 for the 2015-2016 eProve maintenance fee, \$185,625.00 for accreditation dues and the 2016-2017 eProve maintenance fee, and \$3,750.00 for the 2016 accreditation external review fee invoice). Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items II-1 through II-4 were moved and discussed concurrently.

June 21, 2016 Minutes of Regular Meeting
Page 53 of 64

Ms. Murray wanted to acknowledge the new K-8 in Hallandale, which would now be called Gulfstream Academy of Hallandale Beach. The name was chosen from input by staff, the community, and students.

Mrs. Freedman was excited Hallandale K-8 was renamed Gulfstream Academy of Hallandale Beach. She was questioning Coral Springs at this time and whether it had been placed in the process to be renamed Coral Springs K-8. She had heard from the principal that it was difficult to attract students because it was still named Coral Springs Elementary.

Dr. Wanza replied school naming was done according to Policy 1401 and that school community had not gone through the process; however, her office would work with the principal and staff to ensure they implemented the guidelines in Policy 1401 to bring forward as a Board item for that school.

Mrs. Brown added that they submitted the Master State Identification Number and were waiting for its return.

Mrs. Freedman was concerned that Coral Springs had been operational for several years and wanted to know if there was a hold-up.

Mrs. Brown said there was no hold-up. They have been monitoring the enrollment to determine if it would continue as a K-8 and that was what the state was looking at, the enrollment at the upper levels. She indicated they would be working with the principal over the summer and would bring it back to the Board for consideration.

A vote was taken on these items.

II-2. Post Secondary Workforce Education and Community Education Fee Schedule for SY 2016-2017 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Murray, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the proposed Postsecondary Workforce Education and Community Education Fee Schedule for SY 2016-2017. Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items II-1 through II-4 were moved and discussed concurrently.

II-3. Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC) and Learning Sciences International (LSI) LLC for District Licensing of iObservation (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Murray, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve Agreement with Learning Sciences International (LSI) LLC to continue providing District licenses and professional services for the Teacher Evaluation Management System iObservation. Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items II-1 through II-4 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

II-4. Naming of New Hallandale K-8 School

(Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Murray, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the naming of the new Hallandale K-8 school to Gulfstream Academy of Hallandale Beach. Mrs. Good and Dr. Osgood were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items II-1 through II-4 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ. OFFICE OF FACILITIES AND CONSTRUCTION

JJ-1. Annual 2015-2016 Comprehensive Safety Inspection Reports (Received)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to receive the Annual 2015-2016 Comprehensive Safety Inspection Reports. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to Item JJ-2 and asked staff if they had an answer to a question she previously sent them regarding Project Overview listed on Exhibit 1 and the status of the work. She said it was not included in the status update and wanted to ensure it did not get lost in the process of transferring the remainder of the work to the SMART program.

Frank Girardi, Director, Construction, replied the items were not lost and would be placed with the work in the SMART program. The money was currently in Reserves for when that would take place.

Mrs. Bartleman asked the Superintendent to explain the process he was going through to possibly include cafeterias in the work at some schools.

Mr. Runcie responded staff was following up at the Board's direction to touch base with the cafeteria personnel, to have discussions with the principals, take a personalized look at each school to determine what made sense, and come back to the Board based on their findings. There may be one or more schools where they would find after starting the design work that it may make sense to bring back as a potential replacement, but it would be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Mrs. Bartleman wanted to know since this was going out to bid and the cafeteria work had not been completed, how would the work be accomplished without going through the process.

Leo Bobadilla, Chief Facilities Officer, replied, prior to receipt of proposals, any changes that would be required would be handled through an addendum. Once they received proposals for contract, any changes would be done through an amendment. This process would allow for changes through the course of a project.

Mrs. Bartleman inquired how long it would take for an analysis to determine if it would cost more.

Mr. Bobadilla said there would be an update at the workshop tomorrow that would answer questions about the timeline.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-2. Final Acceptance and Release of Negotiated Funds and Retainage -Deerfield Beach High School - Project No. P.000888 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the Final Acceptance and the Release of the Negotiated Close Out Amount, including Retainage, in the amount of \$565,931 for Weiss & Woolrich Contracting Co., Inc. (d.b.a. Tecta America South Florida, Inc.), Re-Roofing, Fire Protection & Renovations, Project No. P.000888. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

JJ-3. Final Acceptance, Release of Retainage and Negotiated Close Out Cost - Deerfield Beach Elementary School - Auditorium Renovations -Project No. P.000003 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the Final Acceptance, Release of Retainage and Negotiated Close Out Cost. This includes retainage in the amount of \$500 and a close out amount of \$150,927 needed to complete the project, for a total close out amount of \$151,427 for Grace & Naeem Uddin, Inc., Auditorium Renovations, Project No. P.000003. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-4. Final Acceptance, Release of Retainage and Negotiated Close Out Cost - Bright Horizons Center - IAQ Repairs-HVAC - Project No. P.000154 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the Final Acceptance, Release of Retainage and Negotiated Close Out Cost. This includes retainage in the amount of \$500 and a close out amount of \$146,402 needed to complete the project, for a total close out amount of \$146,902, for Grace & Naeem Uddin, Inc., IAQ Repairs-HVAC, Project No. P.000154. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-5. Professional Services Agreement - Atlantic Technical College, Coconut Creek - Building Renovations - Project No. P.000415 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with M.C. Harry and Associates, Inc., Atlantic Technical College, Building Renovations, Project No. P.000415. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

JJ-6. Professional Services Agreement - Broadview Elementary School, North Lauderdale - Building Renovations - Project No. P.001638 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with M.C. Harry and Associates, Inc., Broadview Elementary School, Building Renovations, Project No. P.001638. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-7. Professional Services Agreement - Maplewood Elementary School, Coral Springs - Building Renovations - Project No. P.001639 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with M.C. Harry and Associates, Inc., Maplewood Elementary School, Building Renovations, Project No. P.001639. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-8. Professional Services Agreement - Coconut Creek Elementary School - Building Renovations - Project No. P.001413 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with Jorge A. Gutierrez Architect LLC, Coconut Creek Elementary School, Building Renovations, Project No. P.001413. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-9. Professional Services Agreement - Cypress Elementary School, Pompano Beach - Building Renovations - Project No. P.001412 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with Jorge A. Gutierrez Architect LLC, Cypress Elementary School, Building Renovations, Project No. P.001412. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

June 21, 2016

Minutes of Regular Meeting Page 58 of 64

JJ-10. Professional Services Agreement - Lauderdale Lakes Middle School - Building Renovations - Project No. P.001637 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with Jorge A. Gutierrez Architect LLC, Lauderdale Lakes Middle School, Building Renovations, Project No. P.001637. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-11. Professional Services Agreement - Deerfield Beach Senior High School - GOB Renovations - Project No. P.001694 (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Brinkworth, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with Wolfberg Alvarez & Partners, Inc., Deerfield Beach Senior High School, GOB Renovations, Project No. P.001694. Mrs. Good was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1 through JJ-11 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

KK. OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

KK-1. Request additional funding for the Broward Schools' Police Department (BSPD) (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Mrs. Brinkworth and carried, to approve additional funding of \$2,101,034.40 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016. Dr. Osgood was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Good asked staff to summarize the findings and recommendations that would take the dollars from the General Fund for this department.

Patrick Reilly, Chief Auditor, stated they were in the process of doing an audit of the Police Department.

Mr. Runcie added that based on the audit they found three (3) themes in terms of the challenges in how the School Resource Officer (SRO) payments were handled, which were: 1) they identified POs where funds were encumbered that were allowed to lapse and those funds were recovered back into the General Fund; 2) funds that were not encumbered would also be returned to the General Fund; and 3) there was a difference between the actual total for all the SRO contracts of approximately \$160,000.

Mr. Runcie said there were also changes as to who made the payments. Another conclusion was the \$2.7 million, which included the Coral Springs piece, was needed to bring all the payments current. Going forward, he asked staff to have the following things put in place: 1) all contracts needed to be current and executed before September with municipalities; 2) to ensure the budget they had was aligned with the total for all contracts; and 3) the Business Support Center would now be handling all the accounting moving forward to ensure funds were properly encumbered and paid on time. Finally, they would ensure there was constant communication with municipalities to ensure there were monthly billings instead of one (1) bill at the end of the year.

Mrs. Good requested that the communication was not only to be with the city managers, but the mayors as well, and that any issues that may arise be stated in writing to the cities. She thanked staff, indicated she was in support of the item, and looked forward to receiving the completed audit and what transpired.

Mrs. Brinkworth thanked staff and agreed that the communication with the cities should be upfront. She referred to Exhibit 1, Column H, regarding the reduction of \$21,932 and asked staff for an explanation.

Mr. Reilly replied the original contract with that city was \$323,764 and the individual that was supposed to have started, did not start so a credit was given, which reduced the amount of the contract.

Mrs. Brinkworth commented to staff that they ensure the new person being hired had the knowledge and capability to maintain a budget for a particular department and was fully aware of the difficulties that happened in the past, along with the procedures being put into place now.

Mrs. Rich Levinson thanked staff and confirmed the audit would include payroll when completed. She wanted to know how to ensure this was not happening in other departments throughout the District.

Mr. Runcie explained for any outstanding POs that had balances and were not expended by the cut-off date in October, those dollars were recovered into the General Fund. If the Finance and Budget departments see fairly large amounts being recovered from departments, a report would be created and a discussion would be had with the department itself. Mr. Runcie believed by taking these steps it would prevent this from occurring again.

Mrs. Rupert referred to Exhibit 1, Column F, and asked if July's payment would be in 2015-2016, 2016-2017, or if it was in reverse order payment. In addition, she inquired if there was an estimated time the audit would be completed.

Mr. Reilly responded if the contract was followed, there were 10 installments beginning in October. The idea was to open a PO as soon as a new year began. A problem in the past was invoices were paid with a check request, which tied up the money and was returned to the General Fund. Mr. Reilly stated the audit would be completed in stages; however, the SRO part would be completed in approximately four (4) weeks.

Ms. Korn asked if staff identified what year the District budgeted what was spent and balanced. She inquired if there were any misappropriations.

Mr. Reilly replied it was before 2007 and there was no misappropriation of funds.

Mr. Runcie stated the key was to get all of the contracts executed at the start of the school year in order to encumber the funds and start the practice of making payments as identified in the contracts.

Ms. Korn thanked staff and asked if budget included all current contracts and those that still need approval by the Board. She also questioned if the budget increased this year from last year and wanted to know if the budgeting was being done correctly.

Mr. Leong responded yes, everything had been included in the budget and was being done correctly. He did not see any increase in the budget from last year.

Mrs. Bartleman commented that she needed assurances this would not happen again. She was interested in the overtime and cost of the cars and wanted to ensure those items would be included.

Mr. Leong stated the salary was not included in this budget. He said overtime reports were sent to the Cabinet on a quarterly basis to compare if the overtime was higher so they could take steps to decrease it.

Mr. Runcie added that the overtime had been reduced by 60% over the last three (3) years.

Mrs. Bartleman requested copies of the quarterly reports.

Mrs. Freedman inquired how the money from a PO is captured if it was not used.

Mr. Reilly replied if the PO was no longer needed, it could be closed down and the remaining money would go into the budget, and at the end of the year it would return to the General Fund if it was not used.

The Vice Chair received input from the audience on this item.

A vote was taken on this item.

LL. OFFICE OF PORTFOLIO SERVICES

LL-1. Authorizing the Performance of Improvements and Renovations at the Aquatics Complex by the City of Coral Springs (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve authorizing the performance of improvements and renovations at the Aquatics Complex by the City of Coral Springs.

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-4 were moved and discussed concurrently

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-2. Premium Services Agreements Approval - Part 1 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve the Premium Services Agreements between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC), and the listed governing boards.

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-4 were moved and discussed concurrently

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-3. Premium Services Agreements Approval - Part 2 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve the Premium Services Agreements between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC), and the listed governing boards.

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-4 were moved and discussed concurrently

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-4. Premium Services Agreements Approval - Part 3 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rupert and carried, to approve the Premium Services Agreements between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC), and the listed governing boards.

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-4 were moved and discussed concurrently

June 21, 2016 Minutes of Regular Meeting

Page 62 of 64

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-5. Student Enrichment in the Arts (SEAS)

(Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the 2016-2017 SEAS program at schools and at the Broward Center for the Performing Arts. Dr. Osgood was absent for the vote. (8-0 vote)

Mrs. Rich Levinson wanted to review the funding because the numbers were different from the original \$400,000.

Mrs. Brown replied they looked at the backstage/overhead costs, which had increased a little; however, they were able to decrease the amount to \$65,000 from \$85,000. She stated the Transportation costs were doubled in error and approximately \$91,000 was billed to the District. The schools pay for 50% of the costs, which was about a \$1-\$2 cost per student for the bus, so those costs were reduced from the \$200,000 originally presented.

Mrs. Rich Levinson believed the program was phenomenal and the partnership with the Broward Center of Performing Arts. The District recently celebrated the three millionth child attending the program. She said many students did not have opportunities to attend such productions. She mentioned that, prior to the trust fund running out, the Broward Center and the District need to look at grants in the future to help fund some of these costs. Other Board Members also agreed there needed to be a different funding source for this program moving forward.

Mrs. Rupert asked how much each school would have to pay.

Mrs. Brown responded that it would depend on the amount of students attending on each trip, but was approximately \$30 per school.

Mrs. Murray commented that the program had existed for 25 years and started as a base for the theater for young people. She believed it was a small investment for the money.

Following the action of G-3, the following Attorney-Client Session commenced at 12:56 p.m.

Announcement by General Counsel

Barbara J. Myrick announced that the General Counsel's Office had requested an Attorney-Client Session at 12:45 p.m. in the following matters: (1) DiPompeo Construction Corporation vs. The School Board of Broward County, Florida vs. Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC, Case No. 11-015328 (03), before the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida; and (2) DiPompeo Construction Corporation vs. The School Board of Broward County, Florida vs. Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC, Case No. 12-26947 (18), before the Circuit Court of the 17TH Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida.

The session was attended by the following individuals: School Board Members Abby M. Freedman, Vice Chair; Members Robin Bartleman, Heather P. Brinkworth, Patricia Good, Donna P. Korn, Laurie Rich Levinson, Ann Murray, Nora Rupert; Robert W. Runcie, Superintendent; Jon Michael Kendrick, Esq.; Thomas C. Cooney, Esq.; and Barbara J. Myrick, Esq.

The Attorney-Client Session was properly advertised for today, June 21, 2016, at 12:45 p.m., in accordance with Florida Statute 286.011 (8). Telephone conferencing or other telecommunications technology may be used to permit absent Board Members to participate and to be heard by other School Board Members and counsel.

The Attorney-Client Session concluded and the School Board Meeting reconvened at 2:41 p.m.

Adjournment This meeting was adjourned at 11:57 p.m.

/dvn